



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.ustrib.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/668,666	09/22/2000		Carl A. Waldspurger	Vmware8	2255
7590 07/19/20 04			EXAMINER		
Jeffrey Slusher			EL CHANTI, HUSSEIN A		
34825 Sultan-Startup Rd Sultan, WA 98294				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2157	7
				DATE MAILED: 07/19/2004	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			٨			
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/668,666	WALDSPURGER, CARL A.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Hussein A El-chanti	2157			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 A	<u>oril 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.				
3)	•					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 2-4,6-9,12-17 and 20-33 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 2-4,6-9,12-17 and 20-33 is/are rejected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/668,666 Page 2

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to amendment received on April 22, 2004. Claims 2-4, 6-9, 12-17 and 20 were amended. Claims 21-33 were newly added. Claims 1, 5, 10, 11, 18 and 19 were canceled. Claims 2-4, 6-9, 12-17 and 20-33 are pending examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 2-4, 6-9, 12-17 and 20-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bruno et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,725,456 (referred to hereafter as Bruno). As to claim 21, Bruno teaches a computer system comprising:

a host system which includes a host operating system and a hardware memory that is addressable in a hardware memory address space (see col. 3 lines 15-55);

at least one virtual computer, each computer includes at least one virtual processor, guest physical memory, and a guest OS operable to address, allocate and de-allocate the guest physical memory in a guest physical address space and is operatively connected to the host system (see col. 3 lines 15-55 and col. 6 lines 55-col. 7 lines 5);

Art Unit: 2157

a memory reservation software module located within the virtual computer for receiving a memory quantity request from the host system and for changing the allocation of the guest physical memory from within the respective guest OS according to the memory quantity request thereby changing the amount of the hardware memory available for arbitrary use by the host system (see col. 3 lines 15-55).

As to claim 2, Bruno teaches the system of claim 21 in which the memory reservation software module is a driver installed within each respective guest operating system (see col. 3 lines 15-55).

As to claim 3, Bruno teaches the system of claim 2 further comprising:

a memory scheduler in the host system for allocating the system memory among the guest systems;

for each guest system, a communications means for communicating a respective memory quantity request to each driver;

each driver being provided for reserving an amount of the system memory corresponding to the memory quantity request (see col. 3 lines 15-55).

As to claim 4, Bruno teaches the system of claim 3 where:

each guest operating system memory reservation means for reserving specified amounts of the system memory (see col. 3 lines 15-55);

the driver is operatively connected to the memory reservation means for communicating the memory quantity request to the memory reservation means; and the memory reservation means of each guest operating system is native

to the guest operating system, all communication between the memory scheduler and

Art Unit: 2157

the guest systems taking place via the respective drivers, the memory scheduler remaining transparent to the guest systems (see col. 3 lines 56-67).

As to claim 6, Bruno teaches the system of claim 4 where virtual machine monitor forming an interface between the memory scheduler and each respective virtual machine (see col. 3 lines 15-55).

As to claim 7, Bruno teaches the system of claim 4 in which:

the guest operating system changes the amount of the guest physical memory to applications and drivers loaded within and connected to the guest operating system;

upon an increase in the memory quantity request for a specified one of the drivers, the guest operating system reserves a corresponding quantity of memory (see col. 13 lines 35-col. 14 lines 22, col. 19 lines 29-col. 20 lines 2 and col. 12 lines 36-51);

upon a decrease in the memory quantity request for the specified one of the drivers, the guest operating system deallocates a corresponding quantity of physical memory (see col. 3 lines 15-55).

As to claim 8, Bruno teaches the system of claim 21 in which the memory requesting means is further provided for adapting a rate at which it reserves the system memory via the guest operating system to be no greater than a current maximum reservation change rate of the guest operating system (see col. 13 lines 35-col. 14 lines 22, col. 19 lines 29-col. 20 lines 2 and col. 12 lines 36-51).

Art Unit: 2157

As to claim 9, Bruno teaches the system of claim 21 in which the memory request mean is a user-level application loaded in the guest system and running on the guest operating system (see col. 3 lines 15-55).

As to claim 12, Bruno teaches a computer system comprising:

a host system which includes a host operating system and at least one system memory;

at least one guest system operatively connected to the host system;

a memory scheduler in the host system for allocating the system memory among the guest systems;

for each guest system, a communications means for communicating a respective memory quantity request to each driver;

each guest operating system provided with memory request means for reserving the system memory from within the respective guest operating system thereby making the memory available to the host system;

the memory request means is a driver installed within each respective guest operating system;

for each guest system, a communications means for communicating a respective memory quantity request to each driver;

each driver being provided for reserving an amount of the system memory corresponding to the memory quantity request;

the driver is operatively connected to the memory reservation means for communicating the memory quantity request to the memory reservation means;

Art Unit: 2157

the memory reservation means of each guest operating system is native to the guest operating system, all communication between the memory scheduler and the guest systems taking place via the respective drivers, the memory scheduler remaining transparent to the guest systems;

the system memory is system machine memory;

the guest operating system allocates and deallocates physical memory to applications and drivers loaded within and connected to the guest operating system;

upon an increase in the memory quantity request for a specified one of the drivers, the guest operating system reserves a corresponding quantity of memory;

upon a decrease in the memory quantity request for the specified one of the drivers, the guest operating system deallocates a corresponding quantity of physical memory (see col. 3 lines 15-55 and col. 6 lines 55-col. 7 lines 5).

- 3. Claims 13-17, 20 and 22-32 do not teach or define any additional limitation over claims 21 and 2-12 and therefore are rejected for similar reasons.
- **4.** Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.
- 5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 2157

Page 7

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hussein A El-chanti whose telephone number is (703)305-4652. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (703)308-7562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hussein El-chanti

July 7, 2004

SALEH NAJJAR PRIMARY EXAMINER